

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,818	06/23/2003	Dicter Kress	P/2107-239	9834
	7590 01/07/2008 FABER GERB & SOFFEN	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			TALBOT, MICHAEL	
NEW YORK, I	NY 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/601,818	KRESS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Michael W. Talbot	3722

•			i ·
	Michael W. Talbot	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. II
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ig date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136/a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
NOTICE OF APPEAL		6 1 1 111 1 1	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);	,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable in dubinition in a departute,	amory mod umonam	one canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-12,16-18 and 20-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			<i>a</i>
13. Other:	4	MONICA CARTE	Carter
	SUPER	RVISORY PATENT E	EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertion that the Kress et al. '889 in view of Kress et al. '483 combination does not disclose "two support regions or surfaces for supporting the cutter tip being oriented relative to each other at an angle such that a line bisecting the angle runs essentially perpendicular to an active cutting edge." Kress et al. '483 clearly shows in Figures 3 and 6 two support regions/surfaces (31) for supporting the cutter tip (1) being oriented with respect to each other at an angle (Fig. 6) such that a line bisecting the angle runs essentially perpendicular to an active cutting edge (portion NS as best shown in Fig. 3 and further shown in Fig. 6 as outer edge part where arrow of 1 is pointing). Furthermore, portion HS (as best shown in Fig. 3) can also be broadly and reasonable considered as "another" active cutting edge on another side (7) of the cutting tip (1). Therefore, Kress et al. '483 clearly shows a line bisecting the angle formed between two support surfaces (31) runs essentially perpendicular to the active cutting edge (NS) as further detailed in Examiner's annotated Fig. 6.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertion that the Kress et al. '889 in view of Kress et al. '483 combination does not disclose "the cutting edge formed in a straight line between two adjacent corners of the cutter tip." Kress et al. '483 clearly shows in Figures 3 and 6 a cutting edge (portion NS as best shown in Fig. 3 and further shown in Fig. 6 as outer edge part where arrow of 1 is pointing) formed in a straight line between two adjacent corners of the cutting tip (1), eventhough the cutting edge (NS) does not extend to each of the adjacent corners of the cutting tip (1). Furthermore, portion HS (as best shown in Fig. 3) can also be broadly and reasonable considered as "another" active cutting edge on another side (7) of the cutting tip (1) formed in a straight line between two adjacent corners of the cutting tip (1).